Case 2:04-cr-00476-RLH-LRL Document 153 Filed 08/21/14 Page 1 of 2

F.Supp.2d 1180, 1183 (D. Nev. 2003). Moreover, instead of addressing the legal basis for the denial of his successive § 2255 petition, he merely repeats the same arguments made in his original motion and fails to establish any reason justifying relief. *Backlund v. Barnhart*, 778 F.2d 1386, 1388 (9th Cir. 1985). Therefore, his motion must be denied. Defendant is cautioned that the Court will summarily deny future § 2255 petitions and related motions for reconsideration unless and until Defendant obtains permission to file a successive petition from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Accordingly, and for good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Christopher James Wilder's "Petition to Reconsider, on the Alternative Moves for Leave to Void the Court's Order and or Judgement," (#152, filed on Aug. 18, 2014) is DENIED.

Dated: August 21, 2014.

ROGER L. HUNT United States District Judge